



Law Offices
Jacobson Holman
Professional Limited Liability Company
400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2218

(202) 638-6666
(202) 393-5350/51/52 (fax)
www.jhplc.com
Firm e-mail: ip@jhplc.com

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

To:	<u>Examiner M.P. Wieczorek</u>	Date:	<u>June 3, 2010</u>
Company:	<u>United States Patent and Trademark Office</u>	Appln. #:	<u>10/524,264</u>
Fax Number:	<u>571-270-6341</u>	Our Ref.:	<u>P70223US0</u>
From:	<u>Harvey B. Jacobson, Jr.</u>		5 Page(s) total

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THESE PAGES, PLEASE TELEPHONE Michelle W. Borges at
(202) 638-6666.

NOTE:

Dear Mr. Wieczorek:

Pursuant to your telephone conversations of June 2, 2010, with John Luce in connection with the above-captioned application, Applicants acknowledge with gratitude the granting of the telephonic interview scheduled for June 9 at 2:00 p.m.

As requested, the agenda for the interview is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Harvey B. Jacobson, Jr.
Reg. No. 20,851

THIS COMMUNICATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, COPYING, OR OTHER USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE. THANK YOU.

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/524,264
Atty. Docket No.: P70223US0

Agenda -- Telephonic Interview of June 9, 2010

1. Review Office Action statement that "a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art" ("Response to Arguments," Office Action pages 2-3).

Review Office Action assertion that "the apparatus of Nasli-Bakir comprises pumps and valves upstream and downstream of the third glue reservoirs (16, 18), thus the third glue reservoir is capable of having a higher pressure than the second glue reservoir in that the pumps and valves control the flow of glue in and out of the third glue reservoir and thus control the pressure in that reservoir" ("Response to Arguments," Office Action page 3).

Review Office Action assertion that "since the glue is pumped from the third glue reservoir (16, 18) to the second glue reservoir 44 by pumps 30 and 32 the reservoir is configured to supply the glue to the second glue reservoir in a pressurized state" (Office Action page 5).

2. Review cited U.S. Publication No. 2002/0015786 of Nasli-Bakir et al. ("Nasli-Bakir"), including Figure 1 (prior art, relied upon in the Office Action, and Figure 2).

3. Review Nasli-Bakir disclosure that "[t]he day tanks are provided with level sensors 20, 22 outputting level signals to a control cabinet 28. In response to a LOW LEVEL signal from the sensors the pumps 12, 14 and valves 24, 26 are activated so as to replenish the day tanks 16, 18. From the day tanks 16, 18 the glue and hardener is fed via metering pumps 30, 32 and flow meter 34, 36 to a mixer 38. The flow meters feed information of the flow to the control cabinet 28, and this feedback is used to control the metering pumps 30, 32" (paragraph [0028], associated with Figure 1).

4. Review Nasli-Bakir disclosure that "[t]he day tanks are provided with level sensors 20, 22 outputting level signals to a control cabinet 28. In response to a LOW LEVEL signal from the sensors the pumps 12, 14 and valves 24, 26 are activated so as to replenish the day tanks 17, 18. From the day tanks 17, 18 the glue and hardener is fed via metering pumps 30, 32 and flow meters 34, 36 to a mixer 38. The flow meters feed information of

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/524,264
Atty. Docket No.: P70223US0

the flow to the control cabinet 28, and this feedback is used to control the metering pumps 30, 32." (paragraph [0034], associated with Figure 2).

5. Review instant application Figure 7.
6. Review application pending claim 1 (attached).
7. Discuss the structural differences between the claimed invention and the prior art, with emphasis on the deficiencies of the Nasli-Bakir publication.

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/524,264
Atty. Docket No.: P70223US0

1. (Previously presented) An apparatus for forming a glue profile for gluing at least one of a bottom sheet and a folded bottom of a tube portion which is used for forming a block bottom bag, comprising:

at least one first glue reservoir from which a glue is supplied;

glue lines which transport the supplied glue;

a plurality of glue valves configured to open and close individually so as to control flow of the transported glue, the glue profile being definable based on selective opening of the glue valves;

glue outputs which are allocated to the glue valves and from which the glue is communicated so as to provide the glue profile;

a second glue reservoir which communicates with at least two of the glue valves, the second glue reservoir being configured as four glue subreservoirs each including therein a gas cushion; and

a third glue reservoir configured as two glue subreservoirs disposed downstream of the first glue reservoir and upstream of the second glue reservoir and configured to supply the glue to the second glue reservoir in a pressurized state, the glue in

U.S. Appln. No.: 10/524,264
Atty. Docket No.: P70223US0

the third glue reservoir being under a higher pressure than the glue in the second glue reservoir.